

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Paw-shake response and locomotion: can one CPG generate two different rhythmic behaviors?

Alexander N Klishko^{1*}, David Cofer², Gennady Cymbalyuk², Donald H Edwards², Boris I Prilutsky¹*From* Twenty First Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2012
Decatur, GA, USA. 21-26 July 2012

Rhythmic limb movements like locomotion or paw-shake response are controlled by network of spinal circuits, known as central pattern generators (CPGs), as evidenced from locomotor-like and paw-shake like activity in limb peripheral nerves elicited in decerebrate or spinal animals with blocked neuromuscular transmission [4]. Unlike fictive locomotion and scratch, that are likely controlled by distinct CPGs [3], fictive paw-shake response has not been systematically investigated and it is not known whether it is controlled by a specialized CPG or by the CPG that also controls locomotion. In-vivo recordings of paw-shake motor patterns elicited by stimulation of paw skin afferents [7] have revealed high frequency hindlimb oscillations (~10 Hz) with atypical muscle synergies – reciprocal activation of anterior and posterior hindlimb muscles in each half of the paw-shake cycle; both anterior and posterior muscle groups include flexor and extensor muscles. We asked whether a paw-shake response with the atypical muscle synergies can be generated by a typical half-center locomotor CPG reciprocally activating flexor and extensor muscles.

Using software AnimatLab [2] we developed a 5-segment cat hindlimb model with 12 Hill-type muscle actuators controlled by (1) a half-center CPG activating flexor and extensor muscles (two-joint muscles received both flexion- and extension-related signals [5,6]) and (2) proprioceptive input originated from the muscle spindle and Golgi tendon organ afferents. The CPG was modeled by two single-compartment spiking neurons in a half-center configuration. Other neurons (Ia-afferents, alpha-motor neurons, Ia-interneurons, and interneurons mediating autogenic and heterogenic reflex pathways) were modeled as non-spiking neurons (firing rate model based on work

by [1]). Model parameters were adjusted such that computer simulations reproduced the recorded paw-shake mechanics and the anterior-posterior muscle activation patterns.

The obtained results demonstrated that a half-center locomotor CPG can produce movement mechanics and muscle activity patterns typical for paw-shake responses if (1) the locomotor CPG is capable to operate at frequencies 3 to 10 times higher than during locomotion and (2) synaptic weights in spinal circuits can be modified during paw-shake response. We speculate that the two conditions can be realized by sensory input from paw skin afferents.

Acknowledgements

Supported by NIH grants: HD-032571, NS-048844, EB012855

Author details¹Center for Human Movement Studies, School of Applied Physiology, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA. ²Neuroscience Institute, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, USA.

Published: 16 July 2012

References

1. Beer RD, San Diego: *Intelligence as adaptive behavior: an experiment in computational neuroethology*. Academic Press; 1990.
2. Cofer D, Cymbalyuk G, Reid J, Zhu Y, Heitler WJ, Edwards DH: *AnimatLab: a 3D graphics environment for neuromechanical simulations*. *J. Neurosci Methods* 2010, **187**:280-288.
3. Frigon A, Gossard JP: *Evidence for specialized rhythm-generating mechanisms in the adult mammalian spinal cord*. *J Neurosci* 2010, **30**:7061-7071.
4. Pearson KG, Rossignol S: *Fictive motor patterns in chronic spinal cats*. *J Neurophysiol* 1991, **66**:1874-1887.
5. Perret C, Cabelguen JM: *Main characteristics of the hindlimb locomotor cycle in the decorticate cat with special reference to bifunctional muscles*. *Brain Res* 1980, **187**:333-352.
6. Prilutsky BI: *Coordination of two- and one-joint muscles: functional consequences and implications for motor control*. *Motor Control* 2000, **4**:1-44.
7. Smith JL, Hoy MG, Koshland GF, Phillips DM, Zernicke RF: *Intralimb coordination of the paw-shake response: a novel mixed synergy*. *J Neurophysiol* 1985, **54**:1271-1281.

* Correspondence: aklishko3@gatech.edu¹Center for Human Movement Studies, School of Applied Physiology, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

doi:10.1186/1471-2202-13-S1-P70

Cite this article as: Klishko *et al.*: Paw-shake response and locomotion: can one CPG generate two different rhythmic behaviors? *BMC Neuroscience* 2012 **13**(Suppl 1):P70.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

